

APR 24 2006**DIMOCK STRATTON LLP***Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents***FAX**

DATE: April 24, 2006

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE #	FAX #
Examiner Tonia L. Meonske United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2181	1-571-272-4170	1-571-273-8300

FROM: Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE: 663-169/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 8

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: ☐ Yes ☒ No, unless requestedIf you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,
please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.**NOTES:****Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/986,262***Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action mailed
December 23, 2005 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for one
month extension of time (in duplicate).*This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not
the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.

20 Queen Street West, Suite 3202, Box 102, Toronto, Ontario Canada M5H 3R3

Tel: (416) 971-7202 Fax (416) 971-6638


E-mail: firm@dimock.com • www.dimock.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 24 2006

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being sent by facsimile transmission to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below.

Date: April 24, 2006

Mark B. Eisen
Registration No. 33,088**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application No.: 09/986,262

Filing Date: November 8, 2001

Applicant: Daniel Gudmunson et al.

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
THE DATA-DRIVEN
SYNCHRONOUS PARALLEL
PROCESSING OF DIGITAL DATA

Examiner: Meonske, Tonia L.

Attorney's Ref.: 663-169/MBE

AMENDMENTIn response to the Official Action
Dated: December 23, 2005Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

REMARKS

The applicant has carefully considered the Examiner's rejection of the claims based on Arvind et al. in view of Hennessy, and again respectfully submits that the invention as claimed is patentable over the cited references.